
**Inverse boundary value problems by partial
Cauchy data for Maxwell's equations and
Schrödinger equations: cases of waveguides
and cylindrical domains**

Masahiro Yamamoto (The University of Tokyo)

18 May 2016

NEW TRENDS IN THEORETICAL AND NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF WAVEGUIDES

Porquerolles (IGESA)

Joint work with

Oleg Imanuvilov (Colorado State University)

Contents

- §1. Uniqueness for Maxwell's equations in waveguide
- §2. 2D DN map vs 3D DN map
- §3. Proof of the uniqueness in purely 2D case
- §4. Sketch of proof in waveguide

Hidden motivation: to be honest

1. 2D case: DN map limited to arbitrary subboundary yields uniqueness
⇐ Imanuvilov-Uhlmann-Yamamoto (2010)

Hidden motivation: to be honest

1. 2D case: DN map limited to arbitrary subboundary yields uniqueness
 $\Leftarrow$ Imanuvilov-Uhlmann-Yamamoto (2010)
2. 3D case: no such uniqueness

Hidden motivation: to be honest

1. 2D case: DN map limited to arbitrary subboundary yields uniqueness
 $\Leftarrow$ Imanuvilov-Uhlmann-Yamamoto (2010)
2. 3D case: no such uniqueness
3. Applicability of 2D result with DN map on arbitrary subboundary??

Hidden motivation: to be honest

1. 2D case: DN map limited to arbitrary subboundary yields uniqueness
 $\Leftarrow$ Imanuvilov-Uhlmann-Yamamoto (2010)
2. 3D case: no such uniqueness
3. Applicability of 2D result with DN map on arbitrary subboundary??
4. 2D Maxwell: maybe too simple!?

Hidden motivation: to be honest

1. 2D case: DN map limited to arbitrary subboundary yields uniqueness
 $\Leftarrow$ Imanuvilov-Uhlmann-Yamamoto (2010)
2. 3D case: no such uniqueness
3. Applicability of 2D result with DN map on arbitrary subboundary??
4. 2D Maxwell: maybe too simple!?
5. Well, let us consider

3D Maxwell in waveguide:
Uniqueness by partial DN
map

Technical highlights

- Diagonalization of Maxwell's equations in waveguide to two 2D elliptic equations
- Construction of complex geometric optics solutions
cf. Imanuvilov-Uhlmann-Yamamoto (2010)

§1. Calderón Problem for Maxwell's equations

$$\tilde{\Omega} := \Omega \times (-\infty, \infty)$$

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$: simply connected, bounded, smooth

$\tilde{E}$: electric field, $\tilde{H}$: magnetic field (3 components)

$\sigma(x_1, x_2)$: conductivity, $\mu(x_1, x_2)$: permeability

$\varepsilon(x_1, x_2)$: permittivity, $\gamma := \varepsilon + \frac{i\sigma}{\omega}$,

$\omega \neq 0, \in \mathbb{R}$: frequency

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{rot} \tilde{E} - i\omega\mu\tilde{H} = 0, \\ \operatorname{rot} \tilde{H} + i\omega\gamma\tilde{E} = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}.$$

In waveguide $\Rightarrow$

$$\widetilde{E}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = e^{hx_3} E(x_1, x_2),$$

$$\widetilde{H}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = e^{hx_3} H(x_1, x_2), \quad h \in \mathbb{C},$$

Example; $h = i\omega$.

Rewrite Maxwell's equations

$$L_{1,\mu,\gamma}(E, H) := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 E_3 - hE_2 \\ -\partial_1 E_3 + hE_1 \\ \partial_1 E_2 - \partial_2 E_1 \end{pmatrix} - i\omega\mu \begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \\ H_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

and

$$L_{2,\mu,\gamma}(x, D)(E, H) := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 H_3 - hH_2 \\ -\partial_1 H_3 + hH_1 \\ \partial_1 H_2 - \partial_2 H_1 \end{pmatrix} + i\omega\gamma \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

$$L := (L_{1,\mu,\gamma}, L_{2,\mu,\gamma}),$$

$\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2)$: outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$,

$$\vec{\nu} = (\nu_1, \nu_2, 0)$$

$\tilde{\Gamma} \subset \partial\Omega$: arbitrary subboundary

DN map:

$\Lambda_{\mu,\gamma} f = \vec{v} \times H$ on $\widetilde{\Gamma}$, where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} L_{\mu,\gamma}(E, H) = 0, \\ \vec{v} \times E = f, \quad \text{supp } f \subset \widetilde{\Gamma}. \end{array} \right.$$

Technical remark.

- Solution (E, H) does not necessarily exist to the BVP!

$$D_{\mu, \gamma} := \{f \in H^1(\tilde{\Gamma}); \exists(E, H)\}$$

- $\Lambda_{\mu_1, \gamma_1} = \Lambda_{\mu_2, \gamma_2}$ is defined if

$$(i) \ D_{\mu_1, \gamma_1} \subset D_{\mu_2, \gamma_2},$$

$$(ii) \ L_{\mu_1, \gamma_1}(E, H) = 0, \ \vec{v} \times E = f, \ \text{supp } f \subset \tilde{\Gamma} \implies$$

$$\exists(\widehat{E}, \widehat{H}) \text{ such that } L_{\mu_2, \gamma_2}(\widehat{E}, \widehat{H}) = 0,$$

$$\vec{v} \times \widehat{E} = f,$$

$$\vec{v} \times \widehat{H} = \vec{v} \times H \text{ on } \tilde{\Gamma}.$$

Theorem (uniqueness by local DN map)

Let $h^2 + \omega^2 \gamma_j \mu_j \neq 0$ ($\overline{\Omega}$), $j = 1, 2$,

$\mu_j > 0$, $\varepsilon_j > 0$, $\sigma_j \in C^5(\overline{\Omega})$.

Then

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda_{\mu_1, \gamma_1} = \Lambda_{\mu_2, \gamma_2}, \\ \partial_\nu^k (\mu_1 - \mu_2) = \partial_\nu^k (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1 \end{cases} \quad (\widetilde{\Gamma})$$

$$\implies \mu_1 = \mu_2, \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2, \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \text{ in } \Omega$$

- local DN map on arbitrary subboundary proves uniqueness for Maxwell's equations in waveguide

References on 3D Maxwell DN map with $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \partial\Omega$

- Caro-Ola-Salo 2009
- Ola-Päivärinta-Somersalo 1993

§2. 2D and 3D Calderón problems with local data

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta + q)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = f \end{cases}$$

$\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma} \subset \partial\Omega$: subboundaries

$$\Lambda_{\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma}} : \{f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \mid \text{supp } f \subset \Gamma\} \longrightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \big|_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$$

- $\tilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma = \partial\Omega$

Sylvester-Uhlmann: 1987 (2D),

Nachman: 1996 (3D)

References (incomplete) for $n = 2$

- For $\Gamma = \tilde{\Gamma}$,

$\Lambda_{\Gamma, \Gamma}$ uniquely determines

- $q \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$

(Imanuvilov-Uhlmann-Yamamoto:2010)

- $q \in W_p^1(\Omega), p > 2$

$q \in C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}), \alpha \in (0, 1)$ if $\Gamma = \tilde{\Gamma} = \partial\Omega$

(Imanuvilov-Yamamoto:2012)

- $q \in L^p(\Omega)$, $p > 2$
if $\Gamma = \tilde{\Gamma} = \partial\Omega$ (Imanuvilov-Yamamoto:2013)
- $\Gamma \cap \tilde{\Gamma} = \emptyset$: Imanuvilov-Uhlmann-Yamamoto (2011)
- **partial Neumann-to-Dirichlet map** on arbitrary subboundary: Imanuvilov-Uhlmann-Yamamoto (2015)

Other equations with $\tilde{\Gamma} = \partial\Omega$

Navier-Stokes or Stokes equations

- 2D Case: Imanuvilov and Yamamoto (2015)
- 3D Case: Li-Wang (2007), Heck-Wang-Li (2007)

Global uniqueness for Lamé system

- 2D case: Imanuvilov and Yamamoto (2015)
- 3D case: unsolved

$n \geq 3$

- V. Isakov (2006): $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma} \subset \text{plane or sphere}$
- C. Kenig - J. Sjöstrand - G. Uhlmann (2007):
 $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$: **arbitrary**, $\tilde{\Gamma} \supset \supset \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma$

- Imanuvilov-Yamamoto (2013):
 3D cylindrical domain $\Omega = D \times (0, \ell)$:
 $\Gamma = \gamma \times (0, \ell)$ with arbitrary $\gamma \in \partial D$: global uniqueness by $\Lambda_{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma, \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma}$
- Kenig-Salo (2013); on Riemannian manifold
- Imanuvilov-Yamamoto (2013): Uniqueness with some pairs of $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma}$ with $\text{Int}(\Gamma) \cap \text{Int}(\tilde{\Gamma}) = \emptyset$
 Example 1: $\Gamma = \{x \in \partial\Omega \mid \vec{v} \cdot \nu \leq 0\}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma} = \{x \in \partial\Omega \mid \vec{v} \cdot \nu \geq 0\}$ with outward normal ν and fixed unit vector $\vec{v}$

Example 2: $\Gamma = \{x \in \partial\Omega \mid (x - x_0) \cdot \nu \leq 0\}$ and
 $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \{x \in \partial\Omega \mid (x - x_0) \cdot \nu \geq 0\}$ with outward normal
 ν and fixed point x_0

In 3D case, we need some geometric condition for $\Gamma, \widetilde{\Gamma}$

Uniqueness for 3D Maxwell's equations in

$$Q := \Omega \times (0, L)$$

(Imanuvilov-Yamamoto 2014)

$\Gamma_0 \subset \partial\Omega$: arbitrary

$\Lambda_{\mu,\gamma} f = \vec{v} \times H$ on $\partial Q \setminus (\Gamma_0 \times (0, L))$ for

$$\begin{cases} L_{\mu,\gamma}(E, H) = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ \vec{v} \times E = f, & \text{supp } f \subset \partial Q \setminus (\Gamma_0 \times (0, L)) \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Imanuvilov-Yamamoto 2014):

Let $\mu_j > 0$, $\varepsilon_j > 0$, $\sigma_j \in C^7(\overline{Q})$, $j = 1, 2$. Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Lambda_{\mu_1, \gamma_1} = \Lambda_{\mu_2, \gamma_2}, \\ \partial_\nu^k (\mu_1 - \mu_2) = \partial_\nu^k (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) = 0 \\ k = 0, 1 \quad \text{on } \partial Q \setminus (\Gamma_0 \times (0, L)) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\implies \mu_1 = \mu_2, \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2, \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$$

in (convex hull of Γ_0) $\times (0, L)$.

Our strategy

3D Maxwell in waveguide $\sim$ 2D Maxwell



We can expect uniqueness with arbitrary $\Gamma = \tilde{\Gamma}$?

Similar to Imanuvilov-Yamamoto

§3. Proof for $h = 0$: simple case

- Perfect decoupling into two scalar elliptic equations
- DN map for Maxwell's equations $\Rightarrow$
DN map for the first scalar equation
ND map for the second scalar equation

Maxwell's equations for $h = 0$

$$L_{1,\mu,\gamma}(E, H) := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 E_3 & \\ & -\partial_1 E_3 \\ \partial_1 E_2 & -\partial_2 E_1 \end{pmatrix} - i\omega\mu \begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \\ H_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

$$L_{2,\mu,\gamma}(E, H) := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 H_3 & \\ & -\partial_1 H_3 \\ \partial_1 H_2 & -\partial_2 H_1 \end{pmatrix} + i\omega\gamma \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $E = (E_1, E_2)$, $H = (H_1, H_2)$,

$$\gamma = \epsilon + \frac{i\sigma}{\omega}$$

Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

$$\Lambda_{\mu,\gamma} f = \vec{v} \times H \text{ on } \tilde{\Gamma}$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} L_{\mu,\gamma}(x, D)(E, H) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \vec{v} \times E|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}} = 0, \\ \vec{v} \times E|_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = f. \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem 0.

Let $\mu_j > 0, \varepsilon_j > 0, \sigma_j \in C^5(\overline{\Omega}), j = 1, 2$.

Then

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda_{\mu_1, \gamma_1} = \Lambda_{\mu_2, \gamma_2}, \\ \partial_\nu^k(\mu_1 - \mu_2) = \partial_\nu^k(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1 \quad \text{on } \widetilde{\Gamma} \end{cases}$$

$$\implies \mu_1 = \mu_2, \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2, \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Sketch of Proof

First Step: Decoupling.

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{i\omega\mu}\partial_2 E_3, \quad H_2 = -\frac{1}{i\omega\mu}\partial_1 E_3,$$
$$\partial_{x_1} H_2 - \partial_{x_2} H_1 = -i\omega\gamma E_3 \implies$$

$$P_{\mu,\gamma} E_3 := \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{1}{i\omega\mu} \nabla E_3 \right) - i\omega\gamma E_3 = 0$$

Similarly

$$Q_{\mu,\gamma} H_3 := \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{1}{i\omega\gamma} \nabla H_3 \right) - i\omega\mu H_3 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

Second Step

$\Lambda_{\mu,\gamma}$ yields DN map and ND map:

- **DN map:** $\Lambda_{1,\mu,\gamma}f = \partial_\nu u|_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ where

$$\begin{cases} P_{\mu,\gamma}u = 0, \\ u|_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = f, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

- **ND map:** $\Lambda_{2,\mu,\gamma}f = v|_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ where

$$\begin{cases} Q_{\mu,\gamma}v = 0, \\ \partial_\nu v|_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = f, \\ \partial_\nu v|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}} = 0. \end{cases}$$

- Imanuvilov-Uhlmann-Yamamoto (2010) $\Rightarrow$
 $\omega^2 \gamma \mu + \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu}}$: uniquely determined by DN map
- Imanuvilov-Uhlmann-Yamamoto
(Advances Math.: 2015) $\Rightarrow$
 $\omega^2 \gamma \mu + \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\gamma}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}$: uniquely determined by ND map

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \omega^2 \gamma_1 \mu_1 + \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\mu_1}}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} = \omega^2 \gamma_2 \mu_2 + \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\mu_2}}{\sqrt{\mu_2}}, \\ \omega^2 \gamma_1 \mu_1 + \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\gamma_1}}{\sqrt{\gamma_1}} = \omega^2 \gamma_2 \mu_2 + \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\gamma_2}}{\sqrt{\gamma_2}} \end{array} \right.$$

$$U := (\sqrt{\mu_1} - \sqrt{\mu_2}, \sqrt{\gamma_1} - \sqrt{\gamma_2}) \implies \exists A \in L^\infty(\Omega)^3, \\ \exists B \in L^\infty \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\Delta U + A \cdot \nabla U + BU = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$\text{and } U = \partial_\nu U = 0 \text{ on } \tilde{\Gamma}.$$

Unique continuation yields $U = 0$ in Ω .

§4. Sketch of Proof for $h \neq 0$

Maxwell's equations in waveguide

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(\begin{array}{c} \partial_2 E_3 - h E_2 \\ -\partial_1 E_3 + h E_1 \\ \partial_1 E_2 - \partial_2 E_1 \end{array} \right) - i\omega\mu \left(\begin{array}{c} H_1 \\ H_2 \\ H_3 \end{array} \right) = 0, \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \partial_2 H_3 - h H_2 \\ -\partial_1 H_3 + h H_1 \\ \partial_1 H_2 - \partial_2 H_1 \end{array} \right) + i\omega\gamma \left(\begin{array}{c} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \end{array} \right) = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$



$$\operatorname{div} \left(\left(\frac{1}{i\omega\mu} - \frac{h^2}{i\omega^3 g \gamma \mu^2} \right) \nabla E_3 \right) - \left(\nabla \left(\frac{h}{\omega^2 \gamma \mu g} \right) \cdot \nabla^* H_3 \right) - i\omega \gamma E_3 = 0, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Here $\nabla^* H_3 := (\partial_2 H_3, -\partial_1 H_3)$, $g := 1 + \frac{h^2}{\omega^2 \gamma \mu}$.



$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta W + 2A\partial_z W + 2B\partial_{\bar{z}} W + QW = 0, \\ \mathcal{B}W|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}} = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Here

$$W := (E_3, H_3), \mathcal{B}W := (E_3, \partial_\nu H_3), \rho_1 := \frac{\omega\gamma}{i(h^2 + \omega^2\gamma\mu)}, \rho_2 := \frac{\omega\mu}{i(h^2 + \omega^2\gamma\mu)},$$

$$\rho_3 := \frac{h}{\omega^2\mu\gamma g},$$

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\bar{z}} \ln \rho_1 & -\frac{i}{\rho_1} \partial_{\bar{z}} \rho_3 \\ \frac{i}{\rho_2} \partial_{\bar{z}} \rho_3 & \partial_{\bar{z}} \ln \rho_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_z \ln \rho_1 & \frac{i}{\rho_1} \partial_z \rho_3 \\ -\frac{i}{\rho_2} \partial_z \rho_3 & \partial_z \ln \rho_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-i\omega\gamma}{\rho_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{-i\omega\mu}{\rho_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

Maxwell DN map yields

DN map:

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mu,\gamma} f = (\partial_\nu E_3, H_3)$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta W + 2A\partial_z W + 2B\partial_{\bar{z}} W + QW = 0, \\ \mathcal{B}W|_{\widetilde{\Omega}} = f, \\ \mathcal{B}W|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \widetilde{\Gamma}} = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Third Step:

Similarly to Imanuvilov and Yamamoto
("Inverse Problems" 2012) for
weakly coupling elliptic systems:

Construction of complex geometric optics solutions
by Carleman estimates $\Rightarrow$

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2, \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2, \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \text{ in } \Omega$$

Supplementary newest reference

Imanuvilov and Yamamoto (12 May 2016)

$u := (u_1, \dots, u_N)$, A, B, Q : $N \times N$ matrices

$$\Delta u + 2A\partial_z u + 2B\partial_{\bar{z}} u + Qu = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

DN map: $\Lambda_{A,B,Q}f = \partial_\nu u|_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ where

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + 2A\partial_z u + 2B\partial_{\bar{z}} u + Qu = 0, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}} = 0, \quad u|_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = f. \end{cases}$$

Theorem (gauge uniqueness)

Let $A_j, B_j \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, $Q_j \in C^{4+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, $j = 1, 2$, the elliptic operators and the adjoints have not the zero eigenvalue.

Then $\Lambda_{A_1, B_1, Q_1} = \Lambda_{A_2, B_2, Q_2}$ if and only if

$$A_1 = A_2, \quad B_1 = B_2 \quad \text{on } \tilde{\Gamma},$$

and $\exists$ invertible matrix $\mathbf{R} \in C^{6+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$\mathbf{R}|_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = I, \quad \partial_{\vec{\nu}} \mathbf{R}|_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = 0,$$

$$A_2 = 2\mathbf{R}^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^{-1} A_1 \mathbf{R}, \quad B_2 = 2\mathbf{R}^{-1} \partial_z \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^{-1} B_1 \mathbf{R}$$

$$Q_2 = \mathbf{R}^{-1} Q_1 \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{R} + 2\mathbf{R}^{-1} A_1 \partial_z \mathbf{R} + 2\mathbf{R}^{-1} B_1 \partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{R} \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

Ref: Eskin 2001: dimensions ≥ 3 , $\tilde{\Gamma} = \partial\Omega$

Thank you very much!